

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

MARTIN MONETTI, JR.,  
  
Plaintiff,  
  
v.  
  
CITY OF SEATTLE, a municipal  
corporation; SHANDY COBANE, an  
individual; MARY L. WOOLLUM, an  
individual,  
  
Defendants.

No.

COMPLAINT FOR VIOLATION OF  
CIVIL RIGHTS AND PERSONAL  
INJURY

JURY DEMANDED

Plaintiff Martin Monetti, Jr., by and through his counsel of record,  
M. Lorena González, Martin S. Garfinkel, Janet L. Rice, and Schroeter Goldmark & Bender,  
hereby claims as follows:

**I. PARTIES**

1.1. Plaintiff Martin Monetti, Jr. is a resident of King County, which is located in  
the Western District of Washington. He is a male of Mexican descent.

1.2. Defendant City of Seattle (“City”) is a municipal corporation organized under  
the laws of the State of Washington. It is located in King County in the Western District of  
Washington.

1 1.3. Defendant Shandy Cobane is a City of Seattle police officer and, upon  
2 information and belief, a resident of King County, Washington, which is in the Western  
3 District of Washington.

4 1.4. Defendant Mary L. Woollum is a City of Seattle police officer and, upon  
5 information and belief, a resident of King County, Washington, which is in the Western  
6 District of Washington.  
7

## 8 **II. JURISDICTION & VENUE**

9 2.1. This Court has original jurisdiction over claims brought under 42 U.S.C.  
10 § 1983, and 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1443, and has supplemental jurisdiction over the state  
11 law claims under 28 U.S.C. § 1367(a).

12 2.2. Venue is properly located in the Western District of Washington at Seattle  
13 under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b). The incidents complained of in this complaint occurred in King  
14 County, Washington and some or all of the defendants are residents of King County,  
15 Washington.  
16

17 2.3. Before filing this action, plaintiff timely filed a claim for damages with the  
18 City of Seattle on March 16, 2010, pursuant to RCW 4.96.020. As required by RCW  
19 4.96.020, more than 60 days has expired since the filing of this claim for damages.  
20

## 21 **III. JURY DEMAND**

22 3.1. Plaintiff hereby demands a jury pursuant to the Seventh Amendment of the  
23 U.S. Constitution and Fed. R. Civ. P. 38.

## 24 **IV. STATEMENT OF FACTS**

25 4.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 3.1 herein.  
26

1 4.2. Plaintiff Monetti, is a graduate of Franklin High School and lives with his  
2 mother, father, and two siblings in Seattle. He does not have a criminal history.

3 4.3. On the night of April 16, 2010, plaintiff Monetti went out with two friends—  
4 Robin Barrera and Denis Garcia Garcia—to celebrate his twenty-first birthday. They drove in  
5 Garcia’s car to the China Harbor Restaurant located in the South Lake Union neighborhood  
6 of Seattle.  
7

8 4.4. At some point after arriving at China Harbor, Monetti and his friends were in  
9 the parking lot of China Harbor. During that time, they witnessed an armed robbery occur in  
10 the parking lot but they were not participants in the robbery.

11 4.5. Soon thereafter, Monetti and Garcia began walking back toward Garcia’s car.  
12 Before they arrived at Garcia’s car, at around 1:30 a.m. on April 17, 2010, Monetti and  
13 Garcia were approached by squad cars from the Seattle Police Department and ordered by  
14 police officers to get on the ground. Monetti complied with this and all other commands  
15 issued by the officers.  
16

17 4.6. While Monetti was prone and being compliant, defendant Cobane used his  
18 foot to kick and stomp on Monetti’s head and hand several times. Defendant Woollum  
19 stomped on Monetti’s lower back with her foot. Defendant Cobane made a number of racist  
20 and demeaning comments to Monetti and Garcia during this incident, including “You got  
21 me? I am going to kick the fucking Mexican piss out of you homey. You feel me?”  
22

23 4.7. There were a number of other Seattle Police Department officers on the scene.  
24 Upon information and belief, these other officers observed the use of force and racist  
25 language directed at plaintiff but did nothing to stop it.  
26

1 4.8. Shortly after the incidents described above, plaintiff was released from police  
2 custody. Garcia was also released. Monetti was never arrested or charged with any crime  
3 related to the armed robbery or any other events of April 16, 2010 or April 17, 2010.

4 **V. COLOR OF STATE LAW AND AGENCY**

5 5.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 4.8 above.

6 5.2. The actions and omissions of defendants set out in all paragraphs above were  
7 done under color of the laws of the State of Washington and pursuant to and as a result of the  
8 policies, customs and practices of the City of Seattle.

9 5.3. At all relevant times defendants Cobane and Woollum were acting within the  
10 scope of their employment with the City of Seattle.

11 **VI. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION – UNREASONABLE USE OF FORCE IN**  
12 **VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AMENDMENT AND 42 U.S.C. § 1983**

13 6.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 5.3 herein.

14 6.2. By virtue of the foregoing facts, defendants Cobane and Woollum used  
15 unreasonable force in connection with the detention of plaintiff that was excessive, without  
16 legal justification, and in violation of his constitutional right to freedom from unreasonable  
17 seizure and to be secure in his person as guaranteed by the Fourth Amendment and 42 U.S.C.  
18 § 1983.

19 6.3. As a proximate result of the defendants' use of unreasonable force, plaintiff  
20 Monetti's civil rights were violated and he has suffered damages in an amount to be  
21 determined at trial.

22 **VII. SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION – INTENTIONAL**  
23 **DISCRIMINATION IN VIOLATION OF THE FOURTEENTH**  
24 **AMENDMENT AND 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 AND 1983**

25 7.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 6.3 herein.

1 7.2. By virtue of the foregoing, defendants subjected plaintiff to intentional  
2 discriminatory treatment based on his race and national origin in violation of the Fourteenth  
3 Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983.

4 7.3. As a proximate result of the defendants' intentional discrimination, plaintiff  
5 Monetti has suffered damages in an amount to be determined at trial.  
6

7 **VIII. THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION - LIABILITY OF CITY OF SEATTLE**  
8 **BASED ON POLICIES, PRACTICES AND/OR CUSTOMS IN**  
9 **VIOLATION OF THE FOURTH AND FOURTEENTH**  
10 **AMENDMENTS AS WELL AS OF 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 AND 1983**

11 8.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 7.3 herein.

12 8.2. During the period relevant herein, defendant City had policies, practices,  
13 and/or customs that tolerated and failed to prohibit officers of the Seattle Police Department  
14 from using racial and ethnic slurs in the course of performing their duties. In addition, during  
15 the time period relevant herein, defendant City had policies, practices, and/or customs that  
16 did not require discipline of officers for using racial and ethnic slurs in the course of  
17 performing their duties.

18 8.3. During the period relevant herein, defendant City had policies, practices,  
19 and/or customs that tolerated and/or failed to require officers of the Seattle Police  
20 Department who observed other officers using racial and ethnic slurs in the course of  
21 performing their duties to immediately report such activities to management. In addition,  
22 during the time period relevant herein, defendant City had policies, practices, and/or customs  
23 that did not require discipline of officers for failing to report other officers who used racial  
24 and ethnic slurs in the course of performing their duties.  
25  
26

1 8.4. During the period relevant herein, defendant City ratified the actions of  
2 defendants Cobane and Woollum complained of herein, and of the bystander officers who  
3 failed to report such much misconduct to their supervisors.

4 8.5. By the foregoing City polices, practices and customs, and omissions,  
5 defendant City has acted, and has failed to act, in violation of the Fourth and Fourteenth  
6 Amendment of the U.S. Constitution and of 42 U.S.C. §§ 1981 and 1983.  
7

8 8.6. As a proximate result of the foregoing City policies, practices and/or customs,  
9 plaintiff's civil rights were violated and he has suffered damages in an amount to be  
10 determined at trial.

11 **IX. FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION - ASSAULT AND BATTERY**

12 9.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 8.6 herein.  
13 Defendants Cobane and Woollum assaulted and battered plaintiff. Monetti.

14 9.2. As a proximate result of this assault and battery, plaintiff suffered personal  
15 injury and damages in an amount to be determined at trial.  
16

17 **X. FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENCE**

18 10.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 9.2 herein.

19 10.2. Pursuant to state law, defendant City had a duty to use reasonable care in  
20 training, supervising and retaining defendants Cobane and Woollum. By the foregoing,  
21 defendant City breached this duty.  
22

23 10.3. As a result of defendant City's negligence, plaintiff has suffered damages in  
24 an amount to be determined at trial.

25 **XI. SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION - OUTRAGE**

26 11.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 10.3 herein.

1 11.2. Defendants Cobane and Woollum, by their above-described actions and  
2 omissions, intentionally, or with reckless indifference to the well-being of Mr. Monetti,  
3 inflicted severe emotional distress upon him.

4 11.3. The actions of the defendants as alleged above are so outrageous in character,  
5 and so extreme in degree, as to go beyond all possible bounds of decency and be regarded as  
6 atrocious and utterly intolerable in a civilized community.

7 11.4. As a proximate result of this intentional infliction of emotional harm, plaintiff  
8 suffered harm and damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

9  
10 **XII. SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION - NEGLIGENT**  
11 **INFLICTION OF EMOTIONAL HARM**

12 12.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 through 11.4 herein.

13 12.2. Defendants Cobane and Woollum had a duty to use reasonable care in their  
14 treatment of plaintiff while he was in police custody. By the foregoing, defendants breached  
15 this duty and caused plaintiff emotional harm.

16 12.3. As a result of defendants' negligent infliction of emotional harm, plaintiff has  
17 suffered damages in an amount to be determined at trial.

18 **XIII. DAMAGES**

19 13.1. Plaintiff hereby alleges and incorporates paragraphs 1.1 and 12.3 herein.

20 13.2. As a proximate cause of the foregoing, plaintiff suffered physical pain and  
21 suffering, emotional pain, humiliation, embarrassment, apprehension, ongoing fear of law  
22 enforcement, lost wages, medical expenses, counseling expenses, and any and all special and  
23 general damages allowed by law or otherwise, all in an amount to be proven at trial.  
24  
25  
26

1 13.3. As a proximate cause of the foregoing, punitive damages are owed because  
2 the conduct of defendants Cobane and Woollum was malicious, oppressive or in reckless  
3 disregard of plaintiff's constitutional rights.

4 **XIV. PRAYER FOR RELIEF**

5 WHEREFORE, plaintiff Martin Monetti, Jr., prays for judgment against the  
6 defendants as follows:

7 14.1. Compensatory damages, including general and special damages, as proven at  
8 the time of trial, with interest thereon;

9 14.2. Punitive damages against defendants Cobane and Woollum;

10 14.3. Reasonable attorneys fees and costs pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1988;

11 14.4. Permanent injunctive relief requiring improved policies and training of Seattle  
12 Police Department officers and supervisors in the elimination of use of racial or ethnic slurs  
13 in policing; policies requiring bystander officers who observe misconduct by fellow officers  
14 to report the misconduct; elimination of use of unreasonable force; and improvement of  
15 supervisory responsibility on issues of use of unreasonable force and racial and ethnic slurs;  
16 and

17 14.5. Such other and further relief as this Court deems just and equitable under the  
18 circumstances of this case.

19 DATED this 22nd day of June, 2011.

20 SCHROETER, GOLDMARK & BENDER

21 *s/ M. Lorena González*

22 M. LORENA GONZÁLEZ, WSBA #37057

23 MARTIN S. GARFINKEL, WSBA #20787

24 JANET L. RICE, WSBA #9386

25 Counsel for Plaintiff

26 810 Third Avenue, Suite 500

Seattle, WA 98104

Tel: (206) 622-8000 | Fax: (206) 682-2305

[gonzalez@sgb-law.com](mailto:gonzalez@sgb-law.com), [garfinkel@sgb-law.com](mailto:garfinkel@sgb-law.com),  
[rice@sgb-law.com](mailto:rice@sgb-law.com)